

Case Study: Experiences from Centralising Compliance July 2025

Introduction

- What and Why
- Some context
 - 3 offices
 - 176 colleagues
 - Approx 100 fee earners
 - Predominantly Commercial 85%:15%
 - Clients UK based and overseas



The Problem: Where we started



- Inconsistent application of policies and processes
- Difficult to have accurate oversight
- Increased risk gaming the system
- Cascading regulatory changes to colleagues
- Possible source of friction between clients and fee earners
- Inconsistent quality

Schofield Sweeney

Review

Delivery

The solution

- Building the team
- Designing the process





Schofield Sweeney

The Team

- Compliance manager
- New Hire with an FCA and SRA background
- Initially one assistant
- Internal appointment role not backfilled
- Compliance 'trainee'
- A Level 3 Business Admin Apprentice progression route





Process



Schofield Sweeney

Impact

- Internally
 - Consistent client journey
 - Consistent data gathering
 - Compliance no longer a process
- Externally
 - SRA AML audit
 - Reg 21 independent audit
 - Stance of PII insurers





Bradford Office

Church Bank House Church Bank Bradford West Yorkshire BD1 4DY

01274 350 800

Huddersfield Office

30 Market Street Huddersfield West Yorkshire HD1 2HG

01484 915 000

Leeds Office

76 Wellington Street Leeds West Yorkshire LS1 2AY

0113 849 4000

schofieldsweeney.co.uk